

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,769	09/27/2001	Marietta B. Helmeke	DD-043-US-01	3420
759	90 04/04/2003			
H.B. Fuller Company			EXAMINER	
Patent Department 1200 Willow Lake Blvd.			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
P.O. Box 64683				
St. Paul, MN 55164-0683			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	6
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/965769 Helmeke
	Examiner Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  The expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 72 bra	ary 6,2003
This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193.</li> </ul>	for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
$\chi_{\text{Claim(s)}} 1 - 29$	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-29	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	red to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the copies of the copies.</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from the International</li></ul>	he priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	V(a) 8
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
2	☐ Other

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,769

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heider in view of Brauer. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action. Applicant argues that the references do not suggest the use of an amorphous polyester polyol that is the reaction product of neopentyl glycol, hexanediol and at least one of phthalic anhydride or phthalic acid. While Heider does not exemplify an amorphous polyester polyol comprising the reaction product of phthalic acid/anhydride, neopentyl glycol and hexane diol, amorphous polyester polyols comprising the reaction product of phthalic acid/anhydride and glycol mixtures that include neopentyl glycol are exemplified. It would have been obvious to use similar amorphous polyester polyol comprising the reaction produce of phthalic acid/anhydride and glycol mixtures that include neopentyl glycol, such as commercially available Agent<sup>TM</sup> 2227-100, as the amorphous polyester polyol in the polyol component when preparing the polyurethane prepolymer of Heider.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 1712

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

P. Short

March 26, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Pepue a Sht